

**From:** Andrew Matheson  
**Sent:** 10 June 2019  
**To:** Les Trigg  
**Cc:** Alex Yendole  
**Subject:** RE: Stone Neighbourhood Plan - Responses to Initial Enquiries

Dear Mr Trigg

I have spent a little time trying to draw together all the various comments relating to the proposed Local Green Spaces which, subject to the weather, I intend to visit next week. Meanwhile there are just a few points that have arisen:

- You note that LGS 54 is within the Green Belt and therefore can be omitted because it is already afforded "maximum protection"; however, it would appear that a significant part of LGS 21 is also in the Green Belt?
- Your table that mirrors my queries extends only to LGS 54 thereby omitting LGS 55-57 - are there responses on the last three?
- In relation to LGS 34 you note the decision of the High Court but I have been unable to access this using the reference provided - could you or Mr Yendole (copied in) please provide a hyperlink to or paper copy of the decision statement?
- Could Mr Yendole (copied in) please comment on the present Green Infrastructure status of the site of LGS 40 and why part (unidentified) of the site might be "being marketed for development despite its green infrastructure status"?

Kind regards

Andrew Matheson

Independent Examiner